Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

April 30, 2023

Hon. Jim Jordan, Chairman, House Judiciary Committee
Hon. James Comer, Chairman, House Oversight Committee
Hon. Dick Durbin, Chairwoman, Senate Judiciary Committee
Hon. Lindsey Graham, Ranking Member, Senate Judiciary Committee
Hon. Richard Blumenthal, Chairman, Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
Committee, Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations
Hon. Ron Johnson, Ranking Member, Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs
Committee, Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations
Hon. Ron Wyden, Chairman, Senate Finance Committee
Hon. Mike Crapo, Ranking Member, Senate Finance Committee

Ladies and Gentlemen,

As more information is becoming available, concerns are growing in Washington and across the Nation as to whether the DOJ probe into the Biden family's income from foreign entities/governments is narrowly focused on tax transactions from 2018 forward, specifically excluding earlier transactions dating to 2014 that involved Russia, China, and Ukraine.

For two years, Congressional investigators have had a copy of an email to Hunter Biden from close Biden family business associate Eric Schwerin, warning that the "First Son" failed to pay taxes – back to 2014 - on \$400,000 in income he received from the controversial Ukraine energy firm Burisma Holdings. Eric Schwerin is now negotiating interview parameters with the associated House Oversight Committee probe.

"In 2014 you joined the Burisma board and we still need to amend your 2014 returns to reflect the unreported Burisma income," Schwerin wrote Hunter Biden in the Jan. 16, 2017 email that appeared on a laptop turned over to the FBI and Congress. "That is approximately \$400,000 extra so your income in 2014 was closer to \$1,247,328."¹

While this email suggesting a tax probe dating to 2014 was public, the public reporting regarding the matter at DOJ appears scant compared to later years. That reporting has some lawmakers and tax experts concerned that the DOJ knowingly and purposefully allowed the statute of limitations to expire, or that DOJ/IRS constructed an agreement extending the legal deadline - commonly known as "tolling agreements" - specifically to avoid indictment and/or prosecution.

¹<u>https://justthenews.com/sites/default/files/2023-04/HBidenSchwerin1-16-</u> 17EmailTaxes%20%5Bredacted%5D.pdf

I respectfully request your respective Committees to inquire with the individuals involved at the DOJ and the IRS on this specific matter to determine if the statute of limitations were allowed to expire or, if a particular tolling agreement in this matter were constructed, who was involved and what the justification were for such actions. The general public and Members of Congress conducting oversight into these matters must know the full details of these proceedings to ensure that the legal/tax system in our Country is operating in full transparency and with an even-handed fairness.

Very Respectfully, SCOTT PERRY

Member of Congress 10th District, Pennsylvania